

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JONATHAN CHRISTOPHER COFFER,

Plaintiff,

v.

CRAYTON, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:25-cv-00317-BAM (PC)

ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Plaintiff Jonathan Christopher Coffe (“Plaintiff”), a state prisoner proceeding *pro se*, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (ECF No. 1.)

The federal venue statute requires that a civil action, other than one based on diversity jurisdiction, be brought only in “(1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all defendants are residents of the State in which the district is located, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of the property that is the subject of the action is situated, or (3) if there is no district in which an action may otherwise be brought as provided in this section, any judicial district in which any defendant is subject to the court’s personal jurisdiction with respect to such action.” 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

In this case, the claims appear to have arisen in Monterey County, which is in the Northern District of California. Therefore, Plaintiff’s claim should have been filed in the United

1 States District Court for the Northern District of California. In the interest of justice, a federal
2 court may transfer a complaint filed in the wrong district to the correct district. *See* 28 U.S.C.
3 § 1406(a); *Ravelo Monegro v. Rosa*, 211 F.3d 509, 512 (9th Cir. 2000).

4 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the United
5 States District Court for the Northern District of California.

6
7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8 Dated: March 17, 2025

/s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE